

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,241	12/29/2000	Tateo Uegaki	892_013	8615
25191	7590 12/19/2003		EXAM	INER
BURR & BROWN PO BOX 7068			, FISHER, MICHAEL J	
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	- · · · -

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\leq \sim		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Assis a Commence	09/753,241	UEGAKI, TATEO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael J Fisher	3629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reρly	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	on No d in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malin et al. (Malin).

Malin discloses a system with a means for displaying an image (the monitor of the computer), repair-estimation time input (265), repair reserved data storage means (database 250), repair-reservable day/time data display means (the monitor of the computer), repair reservation determining means (resource queues 270), means for calculating a repair-reservable day/time (fig 1), the calculating means would be visible on the monitor, the system uses different criteria to calculate repair estimation time (265,270,275 and 280), the activities of a plurality of shops and bays is controlled and displayed (220), it would be inherent that if there was a problem the repair-reservation completion day/time could be changed, further, the actual time consumed on a task is recorded (page 5, paragraph 0050, line 12), while not discussed, it would be inherent that any time axis used would be universal throughout the system.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/08/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to arguments that the prior art does not disclose the claimed "repair reservation determining means". This would be inherent in any business where a customer must make a reservation. For instance, they wouldn't make a reservation for Friday at 4pm if the shop closes at 5pm and the job will take 4 hours. The step could be merely mental and would meet the limitations as claimed. The means would be in the computer wherein the user would calculate the time necessary for the job, (times for repairs are generally standardized) and cross-correlating this information with shop hours, thus meeting the limitations as claimed. Further, while the applicant claims that storing time reservations in a database is different, it would appear to meet the limitations as claimed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/753,241

Art Unit: 3629

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J Fisher whose telephone number is 703-306-

5993. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-306-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

MF⁽⁾ (1)

12/8/03

JOHN G. WEISS

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600